



2024 Missouri Envirothon State Judges' Scoring Sheet for Team Presentations

ROOM NUMBER _____

TEAM NUMBER _____

JUDGE INITIALS _____

0	Not at all
1	Poor or poorly
2	Fair or slightly well
3	Good or fairly well
4	Excellent or very well
5	Outstanding

Part I Preparation & Presentation of Plan (30 point maximum)	Circle Score					
A. How well did the presentation address or identify:						
• The interrelationship between the environment, natural resources, and the different natural resource management strategies?	0	1	2	3	4	5
• All the different players/interest groups affected by the problem?	0	1	2	3	4	5
• The major natural resources areas (soil/land use, aquatic ecology, forestry, wildlife?)	0	1	2	3	4	5
• The current issue?	0	1	2	3	4	5
• The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem?	0	1	2	3	4	5
B. Were references and resources cited in the team presentation?	0	1	2	3	4	5

Part I Subtotal

Part II Comprehensive Consideration of Relevant Issues (35 point maximum)	Circle Score					
A. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community).	0	1	2	3	4	5
B. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem.	0	1	2	3	4	5
C. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & benefit of the proposed plan, (cost of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding source(s), etc.)	0	1	2	3	4	5
D. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice).	0	1	2	3	4	5
E. The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue.	0	1	2	3	4	5
F. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural resources.	0	1	2	3	4	5
G. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues?	0	1	2	3	4	5

Part II Subtotal

Part III Quality of Presentation (20 point maximum)	Circle Score					
A. Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion.	0	1	2	3	4	5
B. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.)	0	1	2	3	4	5
C. Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, readable, and neat, etc.)	0	1	2	3	4	5
D. Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating.	0	1	2	3	4	5

Part III Subtotal

Part IV Required Elements (15 point maximum)	Circle Score					
A. Add up to five points for all team members participating in presentation. (Each team member gets 1 point for equal oral participation in presentation.)	0	1	2	3	4	5
B. Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan.	0	1	2	3	4	5
C. Up to five points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made effective use of their time. ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER SCORE HERE _____: 5 pts-9-10 min 4 pts-8-9 min 3 pts-7-8 min 2 pts-6-7 min 1 pt-5-6 min 0 pts-< 5 min	0	1	2	3	4	5

Part IV Subtotal

FINAL SCORE (out of 100 points)